

Exciton Mediated Superconductivity in $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ Masashige Matsumoto and Mikito Koga¹*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Shizuoka University, 836 Oya, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan*¹*Department of Physics, Faculty of Education, Shizuoka University, 836 Oya, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan*

(Received February 19, 2004)

The most important character of the exotic superconductor $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ is the existence of low-lying excitations (excitons) with a finite energy gap and it appears as the magnetic field-induced order above 4.5 T. We focus on the a_u conduction band, which hybridizes with a Pr $4f^2$ state strongly, coupled to the excitons. It results in an attractive interaction between the conduction electrons. The symmetry of the superconducting order parameter is determined by dispersion relation of the exciton. For the bcc system $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, a d -wave state ($k_x k_y + \omega k_y k_z + \omega^2 k_z k_x$, $\omega = e^{\pm i2\pi/3}$) is stabilized with broken time reversal symmetry.

KEYWORDS: skutterudite, $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, superconductivity, time reversal symmetry, crystal field, exciton, heavy fermion

$\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ is a recently found superconductor in the Praseodymium-based heavy-fermion system.¹ Specific heat measurement revealed multiple superconducting transition temperatures at $T_{c1} = 1.85$ K and $T_{c2} = 1.75$ K.² The experiment on thermal conductivity also reported the multiple phases depending on temperature and external magnetic field.³ The nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) experiment showed that there is no Hebel-Slichter peak in T_1^{-1} at the superconducting transition temperature.⁴ Very recently, zero-field μSR measurement revealed that the superconducting state is associated with a spontaneous magnetic field, indicating that the superconducting state breaks the time reversal symmetry.⁵ Thus far, only phenomenological theories have tried to account for these experimental results of unconventional superconductivity.⁶⁻¹⁰ Since the multiple superconducting phase is still under investigation, we do not discuss it in this letter. The main purpose of this letter is to present a microscopic theory considering electronic states specific to $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, and to give a scenario for the superconductivity with broken time reversal symmetry.

The Pr^{3+} ion has $4f^2$ configuration in a T_h point group crystal field.¹¹ It is reported that the Fermi surface of $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ is similar to that of $\text{LaOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ which is a reference compound,¹² indicating well-localized $4f^2$ nature. This localized nature of the f -electrons is characteristic to $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, compared with other heavy fermion superconductors with itinerant f -electron nature such as in U-based compounds. Therefore, we consider the conduction electron system well-separated from the f -electrons.

Another characteristic point of $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ is the magnetic field-induced ordered phase above 4.5 T, observed by specific heat,¹³ electric conductivity, magnetization and thermal expansion measurements.¹⁴ In this ordered phase, high-field neutron scattering measurements revealed a small staggered moment perpendicular to the field.¹⁵ Shiina and Aoki proposed that the field-induced order is mainly driven by a quadrupole-quadrupole interaction.¹⁶ They assumed the Γ_1 singlet ground state and the Γ_5 triplet first excited state in Pr $4f^2$ configuration

(specifically, Γ_4 should be used for T_h representation). Since an external magnetic field lifts the degenerate Γ_5 triplet and stabilizes one of them, this Γ_1 - Γ_5 level scheme explains the field-induced order. In this letter, we assume this Γ_1 - Γ_5 scheme.

$\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ is a reference superconductor ($T_c = 1.04$ K¹⁷) with a Hebel-Slichter peak in T_1^{-1} of NQR,¹⁸ indicating an s -wave pairing state. It is reported that $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ has also triplet excitations as in $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$.¹⁹ However, a field-induced order has not been reported thus far in $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$. This means that the crystal-field excitation gap to the triplet state is much smaller in $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ than in $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$. The low-energy excitations play important roles in the field-induced order and the heavy electron mass for $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$. The low-lying excitation (exciton) is expected to be the most important origin of the exotic superconductivity in $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, while this is not the case for $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$. In this letter, we present a microscopic theory for time reversal breaking superconductivity mediated by excitons, specific to the bcc system $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$.

The wave functions for the Pr $4f^2$ state are

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\Gamma_1\rangle &= \frac{\sqrt{30}}{12}(|4\rangle + |-4\rangle) + \frac{\sqrt{21}}{6}|0\rangle, \\
 |\Gamma_5^1\rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{7}{8}}|3\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}|-1\rangle, \\
 |\Gamma_5^2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|2\rangle - |-2\rangle), \\
 |\Gamma_5^3\rangle &= -\sqrt{\frac{7}{8}}|-3\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}|1\rangle, \\
 |\Gamma_4^1\rangle &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}|-3\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{7}{8}}|1\rangle, \\
 |\Gamma_4^2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|4\rangle - |-4\rangle), \\
 |\Gamma_4^3\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}|3\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{7}{8}}|-1\rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here, Γ_1 , Γ_4 and Γ_5 are O_h representations. The total angular momentum is fixed to 4, and the wave function $|J_z\rangle$ ($J_z = -4 \sim 4$) represents the z component of the angular momentum. We take the basis functions $|\phi_n\rangle$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, 3$) for the T_h system as¹⁶

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_0\rangle &= |\Gamma_1\rangle, \\ |\phi_{n>0}\rangle &= \sqrt{1-d^2}|\Gamma_5^n\rangle + d|\Gamma_4^n\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $-1/\sqrt{2} \leq d \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$. As an effective Hamiltonian for the $4f^2$ states, we take an intersite interaction into account as well.

$$\begin{aligned} H_f &= H_{\text{CF}} + H_I \\ H_{\text{CF}} &= \Delta_{\text{CF}} \sum_{n=1}^3 |\phi_n\rangle\langle\phi_n| \\ H_I &= \sum_{\alpha=1,2,3} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sum_{\beta} D_{\beta\beta} X_{i\beta}^{\alpha} X_{j\beta}^{\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here, H_{CF} is a local term with a crystal field excitation gap Δ_{CF} . We choose isotropic multipole-multipole interactions for H_I in order to simplify the following discussion. In H_I , $D_{\beta\beta}$ is a coupling constant and X_{β}^{α} is one of the three-dimensional tensor operators (denoted by α) for a dipole, a quadrupole and an octupole, among others (denoted by β). $\sum_{\langle ij \rangle}$ denotes the summation over the nearest-neighbor Pr sites in the bcc lattice.

First, we introduce bosonic operators such as^{20,21}

$$X_{\beta}^{\alpha} = \sum_{n,n'=0}^3 x_{nn'\beta}^{\alpha} a_n^{\dagger} a_{n'}, \quad (4)$$

with $x_{nn'\beta}^{\alpha} = \langle\phi_n|X_{\beta}^{\alpha}|\phi_{n'}\rangle$ and $a_n^{\dagger} a_{n'} = |\phi_n\rangle\langle\phi_{n'}|$. The bosons are subjected to $\sum_{n=0}^3 a_n^{\dagger} a_n = 1$ at each site. Due to the finite excitation gap, bosons $a_{n>0}$ for excitations are dilute at low temperatures, and we eliminate a_0 for the ground state using $a_0 = a_0^{\dagger} = \sqrt{1 - \sum_{n=1}^3 a_n^{\dagger} a_n}$. The multipole operator is rewritten up to the quadratic order in dilute boson operators as

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\beta}^{\alpha} &= x_{00\beta}^{\alpha} + \sum_{n=1}^3 (x_{n0\beta}^{\alpha} a_n^{\dagger} + x_{0n\beta}^{\alpha} a_n) \\ &+ \sum_{n,n'=1}^3 (x_{nn'\beta}^{\alpha} - x_{00\beta}^{\alpha} \delta_{nn'}) a_n^{\dagger} a_{n'} + O(3). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

One of the relevant intersite interactions in $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ is of the Γ_5 quadrupolar type ($X_Q^1 = O_{xy}$, $X_Q^2 = O_{yz}$, $X_Q^3 = O_{zx}$).¹⁶

$$O_{\xi\eta} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (J_{\xi} J_{\eta} + J_{\eta} J_{\xi}) \quad (6)$$

Using this quadrupole operator, we demonstrate a derivation of exciton dispersion. Nonzero matrix elements for the quadrupole operators $x_{nn'\beta}^{\alpha}$ ($\beta = Q$) are given as $x_{20Q}^1 = -ix_Q$, $x_{10Q}^2 = ix_Q/\sqrt{2}$, $x_{30Q}^3 = -ix_Q/\sqrt{2}$, $x_{10Q}^3 = x_Q/\sqrt{2}$, $x_{30Q}^3 = x_Q/\sqrt{2}$, and $x_Q = \sqrt{35(1-d^2)}$. H_f is now derived up to the quadratic or-

der in the boson operators as

$$\begin{aligned} H_f &= \sum_i \Delta_{\text{CF}} \sum_{n=1}^3 a_{in}^{\dagger} a_{in} \\ &+ \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left[\lambda \sum_{n=1}^3 a_{in}^{\dagger} a_{jn} + \lambda' (a_{i1}^{\dagger} a_{j3}^{\dagger} + a_{i3}^{\dagger} a_{j1}^{\dagger} - a_{i2}^{\dagger} a_{j2}^{\dagger}) + \text{h.c.} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here, $\lambda' = \lambda = x_Q^2 D_{\text{QQ}}$ holds for the only quadrupole-quadrupole interaction in H_I . For a dipole-dipole interaction, $\lambda' = -\lambda$ is obtained. In general, other multipoles are involved in the intersite interaction as well as the quadrupole, so that λ' differs from λ . In our theory, the types of intersite interaction do not alter our result. They just modify the constants λ and λ' .

Since a_1 couples with a_3 by the pair creation and annihilation terms, we transform the operators as $a_1 = -(a_x - ia_y)/\sqrt{2}$, $a_2 = a_z$, $a_3 = (a_x + ia_y)/\sqrt{2}$. H_f is then given by the decoupled bosons a_{α} ($\alpha = x, y, z$).

$$H_f = \sum_{\alpha=x,y,z} H_{\alpha} \quad (8)$$

$$H_{\alpha} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [e_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}} (a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{-\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.})]$$

$$e_{\mathbf{k}} = \Delta_{\text{CF}} + \lambda \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}} = \lambda' \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = 8 \cos \frac{k_x}{2} \cos \frac{k_y}{2} \cos \frac{k_z}{2}$$

$a_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} = (1/\sqrt{N}) \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{r}_i \cdot \mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger}$ was introduced with N as the number of Pr sites. We can now diagonalize the Hamiltonian using a Bogoliubov transformation.

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha} &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}} b_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (e_{\mathbf{k}} - E_{\mathbf{k}}) \\ a_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} &= u_{\mathbf{k}} b_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} + v_{\mathbf{k}} b_{-\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$u_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e_{\mathbf{k}}}{E_{\mathbf{k}}} + 1 \right)}, \quad v_{\mathbf{k}} = \text{sgn}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}) \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e_{\mathbf{k}}}{E_{\mathbf{k}}} - 1 \right)}$$

Here, $b_{\mathbf{k}}$ describes low-energy bosonic excitations. The dispersion relation of the exciton is given by $E_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{e_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^2}$. There are threefold degenerate excitations ($\alpha = x, y, z$). We note that the Hamiltonian (8) is the same as that for interacting spin dimer systems in which the field-induced order takes place.²²

Next, we derive an effective interaction between conduction electrons via exciton creation and annihilation processes. The conduction electron system has characteristics of both the a_u and t_u molecular orbitals of the Sb_{12} cage structure. Since the a_u component strongly couples with the $4f^1$ wave function of the $4f^2$ Γ_5 triplet at the Γ point,²³ we restrict ourselves to the a_u conduction band to discuss superconductivity. We study the following Hamiltonian H .

$$H = H_c + H_f + H_{cf} \quad (10)$$

$$H_c = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}, \quad H_{cf} = -J_{cf} \sum_i \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_i$$

Here, H_c is for the conduction electron system. H_f is

given in eq. (8). H_{cf} represents an effective exchange interaction ($J_{cf} > 0$) between the conduction electrons and the $4f^2 \Gamma_5$ triplet.²⁴ The Γ_1 and Γ_5 states do not couple with each other via only spin exchange. H_{cf} is isotropic in T_h symmetry. $\mathbf{s}_i$ denotes a spin ($S = 1/2$) operator for a conduction electron system at the i -th site.

$$\mathbf{s}_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{i\sigma'} \quad (11)$$

Here, $c_{i\sigma}$ is an annihilation operator for the a_u electron at the i -th site. $\mathbf{S}_i$ is a pseudospin ($S = 1$) operator for the $|\phi_{n>0}\rangle$ triplet, which is given at each Pr site by

$$\mathbf{S} = -i(a_x^\dagger, a_y^\dagger, a_z^\dagger) \times (a_x, a_y, a_z). \quad (12)$$

When conduction electrons excite the triplet excitons via H_{cf} , they induce polarization of the pseudospin $\mathbf{S}$. The other conduction electrons approach the polarized site in the next process, giving rise to an effective interaction between the conduction electrons. We treat H_{cf} as a perturbation and derive an effective interaction Hamiltonian up to the second order.

$$H' = H_{cf} \frac{1}{E_0 - H_c - H_f} H_{cf} \quad (13)$$

Since the characteristic energy is $\Delta_{CF} \sim 8$ K,^{15,25} the excitons are dilute at $T < T_c = 1.85$ K. We consider only the exciton pair creation and annihilation process which is dominant at low temperatures. In this case, we obtain the following H' using the Bogoliubov transformation (9).

$$H' = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} V_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{-\mathbf{k}} \quad (14)$$

$$V_{\mathbf{k}} = 2J_{pf}^2 \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \frac{u_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'} v_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'} u_{\mathbf{k}'}}{E_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'} + E_{\mathbf{k}'}} \frac{v_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}^2 u_{\mathbf{k}'}^2}{E_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'} + E_{\mathbf{k}'}}$$

Here, $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the Fourier-transformed spin operator of the conduction electrons. We neglected the energies of the conduction electrons in the denominator. The excitation has a small dispersion,²⁶ namely $|\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}| \ll \Delta_{CF}$, and $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ is simplified as

$$V_{\mathbf{k}} = V_0 \left(\cos \frac{k_x}{2} \cos \frac{k_y}{2} \cos \frac{k_z}{2} - 1 \right), \quad (15)$$

$$V_0 = \frac{2(\lambda' J_{cf})^2}{\Delta_{CF}^3}.$$

In real space, H' can be written as

$$H' = \frac{1}{4} V_0 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_j - V_0 \sum_i \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i. \quad (16)$$

The first term is an antiferromagnetic interaction between the nearest-neighbor sites [along (111) directions], while the second term is a ferromagnetic interaction on the same sites. The former leads to a singlet pairing. The latter is a ferromagnetic short-range (repulsive s -wave) interaction, and does not contribute to triplet pairings. We study then the effective Hamiltonian for the conduc-

tion electron system.

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} V_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{-\mathbf{k}} \quad (17)$$

A simple mean-field analysis leads to the following gap equation for singlet pairings at low temperatures.

$$\Delta(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \frac{3}{2} V_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}^{(e)} \frac{\Delta(\mathbf{k}')}{2\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'}^2 + |\Delta(\mathbf{k}')|^2}} \quad (18)$$

Here, $V_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}^{(e)}$ represents the even component of $V_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}$ under $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow -\mathbf{k}$ or $\mathbf{k}' \rightarrow -\mathbf{k}'$ transformations. It is expressed as

$$V_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}^{(e)} = V_0 \{ [f_s(\mathbf{k}) f_s(\mathbf{k}') - 1] + f_{d1}(\mathbf{k}) f_{d1}(\mathbf{k}') + f_{d2}(\mathbf{k}) f_{d2}(\mathbf{k}') + f_{d3}(\mathbf{k}) f_{d3}(\mathbf{k}') \}, \quad (19)$$

$$f_s(\mathbf{k}) = \cos(k_x/2) \cos(k_y/2) \cos(k_z/2),$$

$$f_{d1}(\mathbf{k}) = \sin(k_x/2) \sin(k_y/2) \cos(k_z/2),$$

$$f_{d2}(\mathbf{k}) = \cos(k_x/2) \sin(k_y/2) \sin(k_z/2),$$

$$f_{d3}(\mathbf{k}) = \sin(k_x/2) \cos(k_y/2) \sin(k_z/2).$$

For triplet pairings, we note that effective interactions are all repulsive. For singlet pairings, there are s -wave [$f_s(\mathbf{k}) f_s(\mathbf{k}') - 1$] and d -wave [$f_{dn}(\mathbf{k}) f_{dn}(\mathbf{k}')$] ($n = 1, 2, 3$) channels. The d -wave channel is attractive, while the s -wave channel is repulsive due to the -1 term.

Now we determine what type of superconducting state is favorable for the d -wave. For this purpose, we derive a Ginzburg-Landau free energy from the gap equation. The gap equation for d_1 , corresponding to d_{xy} -wave, is written using the Matsubara frequency.

$$\eta_1 \propto \sum_{\omega_m} \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{k}'} f_{d1}(\mathbf{k}') \frac{\Delta(\mathbf{k}')}{\sqrt{\omega_m^2 + |\Delta(\mathbf{k}')|^2}} \quad (20)$$

$$\Delta(\mathbf{k}) = \eta_1 f_{d1}(\mathbf{k}) + \eta_2 f_{d2}(\mathbf{k}) + \eta_3 f_{d3}(\mathbf{k})$$

Here, η_1 , η_2 and η_3 are complex numbers representing order parameters for the d_{xy} , d_{yz} and d_{zx} -wave, respectively. $\int d\Omega_{\mathbf{k}'}$ means an integral over the Fermi surface. We assume $|\Delta(\mathbf{k}')|$ is small near T_c , and expand the denominator. The third-order terms are given by

$$\frac{\delta F_4}{\delta \eta_1^*} \propto \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{k}'} f_{d1}(\mathbf{k}') |\Delta(\mathbf{k}')|^2 \Delta(\mathbf{k}') \quad (21)$$

$$= A |\eta_1|^2 \eta_1 + 2B (|\eta_2|^2 + |\eta_3|^2) \eta_1 + B (\eta_2^2 + \eta_3^2) \eta_1^*$$

$$A = \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{k}'} f_{d1}^4(\mathbf{k}'), \quad B = \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{k}'} f_{d1}^2(\mathbf{k}') f_{d2}^2(\mathbf{k}')$$

Here, F_4 is the fourth-order term in the free energy written as

$$F_4 \propto \frac{1}{2} A (|\eta_1|^4 + |\eta_2|^4 + |\eta_3|^4) + 2B (|\eta_1|^2 |\eta_2|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 |\eta_3|^2 + |\eta_3|^2 |\eta_1|^2) + \frac{1}{2} B (\eta_1^{*2} \eta_2^2 + \eta_2^{*2} \eta_3^2 + \eta_3^{*2} \eta_1^2 + \text{c.c.}) \quad (22)$$

The generic form of F_4 for the three-dimensional repre-

sensation is given by²⁷

$$F_4 = \beta_1(|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 + |\eta_3|^2)^2 + \beta_2|\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 + \eta_3^2|^2 + \beta_3(|\eta_1|^4 + |\eta_2|^4 + |\eta_3|^4). \quad (23)$$

There are the following relations between the coefficients.

$$\beta_1 \propto B > 0, \quad \beta_2 \propto \frac{1}{2}B > 0, \quad \beta_3 \propto \frac{1}{2}(A - 3B) \quad (24)$$

For a simple spherical Fermi surface, $\beta_3 > 0$ holds. In the most stable state, the time reversal symmetry is broken with the following order parameter:^{27,28}

$$\Delta(\mathbf{k}) = \eta[f_{d1}(\mathbf{k}) + \omega f_{d2}(\mathbf{k}) + \omega^2 f_{d3}(\mathbf{k})]. \quad (25)$$

Here, $\omega = e^{\pm i2\pi/3}$. This state has eight point nodes. There is eightfold degeneracy due to the four directions of the threefold axes and to the time reversal degeneracy.

In this letter, we studied the exciton mediated superconductivity to discuss the time reversal symmetry breaking state realized in $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$. Our idea is based on the fact that there exist low-lying excitations above the nonmagnetic Γ_1 singlet ground state. This is a unique feature of $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ among known skutterudite superconductors. Our theory reveals that the superconducting pairing symmetry is determined by the $\mathbf{k}$ dependence of the exciton dispersion. For a bcc lattice, a three-component d -wave state appears with broken time reversal symmetry, which agrees with the result of the zero-field μSR experiment. We point out that the most stable state (25) breaks the time reversal symmetry around one of the threefold axes due to the relative phase $e^{\pm i2\pi/3}$ between the three components.

A magnetic exciton mechanism was suggested as a origin of a d -wave superconductivity in UPd_2Al_3 .^{29–31} In this case, the superconductivity coexists with antiferromagnetic order. In our model for $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, the ground state is a nonmagnetic Γ_1 singlet which does not suffer superconductivity, and we consider excitons with a finite energy gap. These points are different from the model for UPd_2Al_3 .

Our theory can predict that the gapped-exciton-mediated superconductivity is suppressed with the increase in crystal field excitation gap Δ_{CF} [see eq. (15)], which is the characteristic energy for the excitons. This can be realized by substituting Ru for Os, since $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ has a much higher crystal field excitation energy gap.¹⁹ Very recently, it has been reported that T_c decreases from 1.85 K (T_c of $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$) with the substitution of Ru for Os, and then it increases towards 1.04 K (T_c of $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$), which is understood as a competition between the d -wave and s -wave.¹⁹ The first decrease in T_c agrees with our theory. Another way to increase the crystal field excitation gap Δ_{CF} is by applying pressure. In our model, the T_c of $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ should be decreased with pressure.

Throughout the letter, the conduction band is restricted to the a_u band hybridizing strongly with an f -

electron wave function of the Pr $4f^2$ state. In fact, the t_u band electron also couples with the $4f^2$ state and admixes with the a_u on the Pr sites, which leads to an orbital exchange interaction. This interaction couples the Pr Γ_1 singlet ground state to the Γ_5 triplet, and the orbital exchange (or interband exchange) process is involved in the effective interaction between conduction electrons. The possibility of multiband superconductivity will be studied in the future.

The idea of gapped-exciton-mediated superconductivity can be adopted to spin dimer systems, where a non-magnetic singlet ground state is realized accompanied by dispersive triplet excitations with a finite energy gap. It is essentially the same as the Pr $4f^2$ system discussed in this letter. Introducing a conduction electron system coupled to the spin dimers, we can expect superconductivity mediated by the triplet excitons. This is one of the directions to search for a new superconductor.

We are indebted to Y. Aoki, K. Kuwahara, H. Shiba and R. Shiina for valuable discussions.

- 1) E. D. Bauer *et al.*: Phys. Rev. B **65** (2002) 100506(R).
- 2) Y. Vollmer *et al.*: Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003) 057001.
- 3) K. Izawa *et al.*: Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003) 117001.
- 4) H. Kotegawa *et al.*: Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003) 027001.
- 5) Y. Aoki *et al.*: Phys. Rev. Lett. **91** (2003) 067003.
- 6) K. Miyake, H. Kohno and H. Harima: J. Phys.: Condens. Matter: **15** (2003) L275.
- 7) J. Goryo: Phys. Rev. B **67** (2003) 184511.
- 8) K. Maki *et al.*: Europhys. Lett. **64** (2003) 496.
- 9) M. Ichioka, N. Nakai and K. Machida: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **72** (2003) 1322.
- 10) I. A. Sergienko and S. H. Curnoe: Phys. Rev. B **70** (2004) 144522.
- 11) K. Takegahara, H. Harima and A. Yanase: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **70** (2001) 1190.
- 12) H. Sugawara *et al.*: Phys. Rev. B **66** (2002) 220504(R).
- 13) Y. Aoki *et al.*: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **71** (2002) 2098.
- 14) P.-C. Ho *et al.*: Phys. Rev. B **67** (2003) 180508(R).
- 15) M. Kohgi *et al.*: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **72** (2003) 1002.
- 16) R. Shiina and Y. Aoki: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73** (2004) 541.
- 17) N. Takeda and M. Ishikawa: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **57** (2000) 868.
- 18) M. Yogi *et al.*: Phys. Rev. B **67** (2003) 180501(R).
- 19) N. A. Frederic *et al.*: Phys. Rev. B **69** (2004) 024523.
- 20) H. Kusunose and Y. Kuramoto: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **70** (2001) 3076.
- 21) R. Shiina *et al.*: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **72** (2003) 1216.
- 22) M. Matsumoto *et al.*: Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 077203; Phys. Rev. B **69** (2004) 054423.
- 23) H. Harima and K. Takegahara: J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **15** (2003) S2081.
- 24) H. Shiba, O. Sakai and M. Koga: in preparation.
- 25) M. B. Maple *et al.*: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **71** (2002) Suppl. 23.
- 26) K. Kuwahara *et al.*: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73** (2004) 1438.
- 27) G. E. Volovik and L. P. Gor'kov: Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **88** (1985) 1412 [Sov. Phys. JETP **61** (1985) 843].
- 28) M. Sigrist and K. Ueda: Rev. Mod. Phys. **63** (1991) 239.
- 29) N. K. Sato *et al.*: Nature **410** (2001) 340.
- 30) P. Thalmeier: Eur. Phys. J. B **27** (2002) 29.
- 31) P. McHale, P. Thalmeier and P. Fulde: Phys. Rev. B **70** (2004) 014513.